



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,641	07/20/2001	Perriann M. Holden	1827-U-01 CIP	2605
33651	7590 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
JERRY RICHARD POTTS 3248 VIA RIBERA ESCONDIDO, CA 92029			HOEY, ALISSA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22001.2.20, 011 /202/			3765 DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003 2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L .		\wedge	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/910,641	HOLDEN, PERRIANN M.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	·	rially reducing or simplifying the	
issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: claim amendments require new consider	ation and search.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,5,10,21-32,35-41 and 44.			

JOHNO CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-4,7-9,12-20,34,42,43,45 and 46</u>.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _